



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,565	12/04/2003	Hiroyuki Nishimori	0229-0784P	5477
2292 7	590 05/17/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STEV PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH &	JULES, FI	JULES, FRANTZ F	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/726,565	HIROYUKI NISHIMORI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frantz F. Jules	3617
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commedite in the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statement of the period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication.)) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on	
<u> </u>	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practic		**
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6,9 and 10</u> is/are objected. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) dbjected to tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/04/2003</u>. 	Paper No(s PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of I	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 05092004

Application/Control Number: 10/726,565

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahiro (JP 2000225811).
- 3. Claims 1, 4, and 9

Takahiro discloses an ATV radial tire of a block pattern in which a plurality of blocks (3a, 3b, 3c, 3d) are disposed on a tread surf ace at distances from one another, wherein said blocks includes a chamfered block (3b or 3c or 3d) as shown in figs. 1-2, said chamfered block including a notch identified by r1 or r2 which comprises an inclined surface obtained by chamfering a corner between an upper surface of the block and a wall surface of the block on an outer side edge of the block which is directed outward of a vehicle when the tire is mounted on the vehicle, see abstract section. The chamfer block occupying 50 to 100% of the total number of blocks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3617

5. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahiro in view of Takahashi (EP 1,074,405 A1).

Claim 2-3

Regarding using an angle of the notch of 30 to 60 degrees on the upper surface of the block and a height of the notch in its radial direction that is 25 to 50% of a height of the block as recited in claims 2-3, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Takahiro to include the use of an angle of the notch of 30 to 60 degrees on the upper surface of the block and a height of the notch in its radial direction that is 25 to 50% of a height of the block in his advantageous system. as taught by Takahashi, as tire block design is a common and everyday occurrence throughout the vehicle tire design art and the specific use of an angle of the notch of 30 to 60 degrees on the upper surface of the block and a height of the notch in its radial direction that is 25 to 50% of a height of the block would have been an obvious matter of design preference depending upon such factors as the loading to be carried by the tire, the yield strength of the rubber or elastomer material, the amount of stability one is targeted in the tire; the ordinarily skilled artisan choosing the best stress profile corresponding to a particular loading imposed on the tire which would most optimize the cost and performance of the device for a particular application at hand, based upon the above noted common design criteria.

6. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahiro and Takahashi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sumitomo (JP 11-245637 A).

Art Unit: 3617

Claims 7-8

Regarding using a land ratio of an inner side of the tire equator which is greater than that of a land ration of an outer side of the vehicle or a land ratio of the inner side of the vehicle from the tire equator which is 1.1 to 1.5 times the land ration of the outer side of the vehicle as recited in claims 2-3, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Takahiro and Takahashi to include the use of a land ratio of an inner side of the tire equator which is greater than that of a land ration of an outer side of the vehicle or a land ratio of the inner side of the vehicle from the tire equator which is 1.1 to 1.5 times the land ration of the outer side of the vehicle in his advantageous system as taught by Sumitomo, as tire aspect ratio design is a common and everyday occurrence throughout the vehicle tire design art and the specific use of a land ratio of an inner side of the tire equator which is greater than that of a land ration of an outer side of the vehicle or a land ratio of the inner side of the vehicle from the tire equator which is 1.1 to 1.5 times the land ration of the outer side of the vehicle would have been an obvious matter of design preference depending upon such factors as the loading to be carried by the tire, the yield strength of the rubber or elastomer material, the amount of stability one is targeted in the tire; the ordinarily skilled artisan choosing the best stress profile corresponding to a particular loading imposed on the tire which would most optimize the cost and performance of the device for a particular application at hand, based upon the above noted common design criteria.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/726,565

Art Unit: 3617

7. Claims 5-6, 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 5

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Takahashi, Iwamura, Watanabe et al, and Bridgeston are cited to show related tre comprising blocks with chamfered edges.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (703) 308-8780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz F. Jules Examiner Art Unit 3617

FFJ

May 9, 2004

FRANTZ F. JULES
PATENT EXAMINED